61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

The regular Session of the 89th General Assembly will please come to order. Will the Members be at their desks and our guests in the galleries please rise. Our prayer today will be given by Pastor Jerry L. Klug, Grace Lutheran Church, Paris, Illinois. Pastor Klug.

PASTOR JERRY L. KLUG:

(Prayer by Pastor Jerry L. Klug)

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

Will you please remain standing for the Pledge of Allegiance. Senator Sieben.

SENATOR SIEBEN:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Sieben)

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

Reading of the Journal. Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Journal of Friday, October 20th, 1995.

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

Senator Butler.

SENATOR BUTLER:

Mr. President, I move that the Journals just read by the Secretary be approved, unless some Senator has additions or corrections to offer.

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

Senator Butler moves to approve the Journal just read. There being no objection, so ordered. Messages.

SECRETARY HARRY:

A Message from the President.

Dear Mr. Secretary - Please be advised that I have appointed Senator David Luechtefeld to the Committee on Higher Education. I have designated Senator Stanley B. Weaver to replace former Senator Ralph Dunn as Chairman of this Committee. These

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

appointments are effective immediately.

Dated October 25th, 1995, and signed by President Philip.

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

Introduction of Bills.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Bill 1231, offered by Senator DeLeo.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

And Senate Bill 1232, offered by Senator Welch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bills.

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

Resolutions.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Resolution 97, offered by Senator Shaw and all Members.

Senate Resolution 98, by Senator Jones and all Members.

Senate Resolution 99, by Senator Philip and all Members.

Senate Resolution 100, by Senator Cullerton and all Members.

Senate Resolutions 101 through 112, all offered by Senator Demuzio.

They're all death resolutions, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Joint Resolution 66, offered by Senator Cronin.

And Senate Joint Resolution 67, offered by Senator Hawkinson. They're both substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Bomke, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR BOMKE:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege. I'd like to direct your attention to the President's -- thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to direct your attention to the President's

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

Gallery. I have some students here today from my district: From Tri-City High School, seniors from Buffalo, Illinois. The senior class. Teachers Mike Kaczmarz and Deborah Crowe. Right up here. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Would our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized by the Senate. Welcome to Springfield. Senator Bomke, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR BOMKE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I have one more class here today. In the President's Gallery up here from UIS, the social work class in social -- advocacy - excuse me - in community organizations. Sandy Mills is the teacher. They're right up here. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Will our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized by the Senate. Welcome to Springfield. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, let me have your attention please, if I might. We will proceed -- we will proceed to the -- to the Order of Motions in Writing on page 4 of your Calendar today. Give you just a moment to get your things together. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, top of page 4, Motions in Writing. Senate Bill 93. Senator Rauschenberger. Mr. Secretary, read the motion, please.

SECRETARY HARRY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 93, in manner and form as follows:

Amendment to Senate Bill 93

in Acceptance of the Governor's Recommendations Filed by Senator Rauschenberger.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, in -- in my extensive legislative career of this bill, I apparently made a

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

mistake in asking for too early an effective date. So, the Governor's recommendation is that we change the effective date by six months on this bill. I would move to accept the Governor's specific recommendation and would be happy to try to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

discussion? discussion? Is there Is there Rauschenberger has moved to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 93. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Secretary. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, no Members voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 93, having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected, are declared Senate Bill 276. Senator Mahar. Senator Mahar. accepted. Senator Mahar on the Floor? Senate Bill 377. Senator Watson. Senator Woodyard. Mr. Secretary, read the Senate Bill 442. motion, please.

SECRETARY HARRY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 442, in the manner and form as follows:

Amendment to Senate Bill 442

 $\hbox{in Acceptance of the Governor's Recommendations} \\$ Filed by Senator Woodyard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. I would move to accept the Governor's veto on Senate Bill 442. This bill dealt with ISAC and -- and the Scholarship Commission. Governor's Office felt that maybe there should be a little more

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

flexibility on the hearing. And that's what the amendatory veto does and I would move for its acceptance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Watson -- Senator -- Senator Woodyard has moved to accept the specific recommendations of -- of the Governor as to Senate Bill 442. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as -- I'm sorry, Senator Hawkinson, I was -- as to the Governor as to Senate Bill 442, in the manner and form just stated by the Senator. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Secretary. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, no Members voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 442, having received the required constitutional majority vote of the Senators elected, are declared accepted. Senate Bill 566. Senator Geo-Karis. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 566, in manner and form as follows:

Amendment to Senate Bill 566

 $\label{lem:commendations} \mbox{ in Acceptance of Governor's Recommendations } \\ \mbox{Filed by Senator Geo-Karis.}$

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. -- Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I -- I certainly do move to accept the recommendations of the Governor on Senate Bill 566, and ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Geo-Karis has moved to accept the specific

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 566. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 566, in the -- manner and form just stated by Senator Geo-Karis. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Secretary. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, no Members voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 566, having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected, are declared accepted. Senate Bill 736. Senator Madigan. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 736, in manner and form as follows:

Amendment to Senate Bill 736

 $\hbox{in Acceptance of Governor's Recommendations} \\$ Filed by Senator Madigan.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor on Senate Bill 736. Would happy to -- would be happy to answer any questions and otherwise would ask for favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Madigan has moved to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 736. Is there any discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. If the Senator may -- Senator maybe

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

could explain what the changes were, it would help.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Hawkinson, the amendatory change in that clarified that the -- this -- the contents of Senate Bill 736 would not apply to life insurance policies, accident and health, fidelity and surety policies, and ocean marine policies.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

As -- as I understand this bill, it -- it removed the requirement that any misrepresentation by an applicant no longer have to be in the application or part of the policy. In other... Could this be an oral misrepresentation? A verbal misrepresentation? Or does it have -- still have to be something in writing?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

It's -- thank you, Mr. President. Senator Hawkinson, it -- it's aimed toward something in writing. I suppose in the broadest sense it might represent -- or have to do with oral misrepresentation, but the language was directed towards written misrepresentation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Is there any time limit in which the misrepresentation has to be asserted? Or can an insurance company, say under a general liability policy, can they come in on a policy that's been in

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

existence for ten years and claim that there was some oral misrepresentation during the -- ten years ago during the application period and try and deny coverage for something that would otherwise been covered?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Mr. -- thank you, Mr. President. The claim for misrepresentation has to be made within one year of the issuance of the policy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 736, in the manner and form just stated by Senator Madigan. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Secretary. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, no Members voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 736, having received the required constitutional majority vote of elected, are declared accepted. Senate Bill 949. Senator Klemm. Senate Bill 1094. Senator Fitzgerald. Senator Fitzgerald, we'll -- we'll come back to you in a moment. All right? Senate Bill 1133. Senator Rauschenberger. Senate Bill 1133. Read the -- read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1133, in manner and form as follows:

Amendment to Senate Bill 1133

 $\hbox{in Acceptance of Governor's Recommendations} \\$ Filed by Senator Rauschenberger.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Ladies and -- Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, it's with a great deal of reluctance I move the acceptance of the Governor's recommendations. This is the single survey bill. As long as I've been in the Senate, Members in this Body and in the House have been concerned by the fact that we have two separate agencies in State government surveying and supervising our nursing homes. fought guite a -- an argumentative process last year in moving this bill out of both this Chamber and the House Chamber. Governor's changes basically remove the -- the broad-based single survey and change this into a pilot program so that both the Department of Public Health and Department of Public Aid can learn to play well together in our nursing homes. The industry though is -- is hopeful that this is a first step. And so I would reluctantly request the -- my colleagues to join me in accepting the Governor's specific recommendations.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger has moved to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1133. Is there any discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, if you look at our analysis, many of us for years have said we should avoid the duplication and we ought to have a single system, and most of last year the fight was over which agency was going to be the system. And now it appears to me that we're going to be in a situation where we're not eliminating the problem. Why don't you try for an override?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

Discussions were held with the Executive Branch and -- and representatives of the industry that I was not a party to. There appears to be reluctant acceptance by the industry. I -- I would certainly understand your voting against acceptance. It's very reluctantly that I bring this to a -- to -- to a call this way. I would have been far more comfortable and I think we would be better served had we go forward -- if we went forward more quickly with the single survey, but perhaps the industry and the Governor are right that this is the logical first step.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. It's the folks in the industry who have justifiably complained to us for years that -- that it's duplication and they solve -- they have one inspection and everything is okay and then the other agency comes in and something has to be changed. But what you're indicating to me is that those folks are in support of accepting this. They would like more, but that they are in support of this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Senator, certainly their associations have represented to me that they support this as -- as an acceptance; that they've had broad-based discussions with the Governor's Office. That's not to represent to you that your individual facilities have -- were involved in this process, but their associations were and they're the ones that brought me the recommendation for acceptance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

How -- Senator, how long is this pilot program, and is there a

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

set period after which we're going to resolve this and go either one way or the other with a -- to avoid the duplication? Is there a time limit set up here?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

The -- the pilot runs till June 30th, 1997, and the Governor recommends a deadline for implementation of new reimbursement methodology of January 1st, 1997, which ties across to this. Again, it's -- it's with a great deal of reluctance -- I would much rather have seen the bill not amended.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Further discussion? Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Indicates he will yield, Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

Senator Rauschenberger, let me make sure I understand this: that the original bill required that this be set in motion - the process - toward a single feasibility and so forth - methodology. So what this amendment does now is set up a pilot program after which - and that's where I'm not clear - our analysis says that "unless otherwise determined by the Governor". What does that mean? Does the Governor then decide whether we actually implement this? And if that's the case, then it sounds to me as if the end of this is still in question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Yes, Senator, I think it's clear that the way the bill has been amended it leaves a good deal of discretion on the part of

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

the administration, the Executive Branch, to decide when to roll out with a complete and comprehensive single survey. I guess the way I'd answer your real specific question is that it probably means we'll be taking additional legislative action if we're not satisfied with the progress by the Department of Public Aid and Public Health and the administration on this issue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

If you don't mind, Senator Rauschenberger, in the interest of time, I want to put three questions in one: Over what period of time are we talking? Were there any opponents to this amendment? And, what do you see as the possible impact of doing a pilot study and not really having a conclusive end to it leading toward the -- the intention of the original bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

The -- the pilot program recommended in the amendatory veto is specific in time. It -- the pilot would end June 30th of 1997. As to whether there were opponents to this, I'm sure there are people in the industry that are still very frustrated that the Executive Branch, the Department of Public Aid and Department of Public Health can't get together and work on this single survey process. This could have been done without legislation by the Executive Branch - the single survey. The -- the administration has -- the two departments have chosen not to do that. T+ frustrates me. So there -- there probably are still some opponents. T think very reluctantly the -- the industry representatives worked with the Governor's Office, hopefully in a process to -- to move this all forward. It's very difficult in deciding whether the original bill could have been implemented

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

without any dislocation in the industry. The Executive Branch obviously clearly believes they need a pilot before they're ready to single survey.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Is there further discussion? Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I, too, reluctantly rise to say let's support this. This is better than nothing. I would simply say that our mikes are a little off today, so maybe if we do it -- keep down the roar, it might be helpful. But, I reluctantly rise to -- to support this, Of anybody who has fought this -- many of us in this Legislature have fought for a single survey for fifteen years, it seems like, that we have talked this issue, and to have finally passed it in the Illinois Senate 57 to nothing, is sending a very strong message. And I say that if we can just accept this and then keep the Governor's Office and the Executive Branch feet to the fire; that we really do mean business. We have got to get the single survey. It's the only thing to do for our nursing homes. They're hurting already with other things we do to them, but this is one thing they've talked about year after year after year. So let's keep their feet to the fire.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Is there further discussion? Is there further discussion? Senator Rauschenberger, I -- I'm... Senator Rauschenberger. Senator Raica.

SENATOR RAICA:

A question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

He indicates he will yield, Senator Raica.

SENATOR RAICA:

Senator Rauschenberger, if -- if we don't agree to the -- to

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

-- to what you want to do here, what position does that put the -put this bill into, basically? I mean, what would happen to the
nursing home facilities if we -- if we chose to do nothing here?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

It -- it would be my intention that if we -- if the vote was -- votes were not to be there to accept the specific recommendations, that I would move this bill for a total override of the Governor's veto, if -- if we don't accept the specific recommendations. So if -- if Members are not comfortable with the change to a pilot program and were to vote No and it were to -- were to fail to accept the specific recommendations, I will bring the bill back for an override vote. The problem is, unless the other Chamber - the House Chamber - moves it in exactly the same form, the entire bill - the whole process of at least beginning - ends.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

WCIA-TV requests permission to videotape. Is leave granted?

Leave is granted. Is there further discussion? Senator

Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I think the Governor's amendatory veto probably cleaned up this bill a bit. I think -- I'm more comfortable with the amendatory veto because I'm more concerned about the people who are in the nursing homes rather than the nursing homes, because I do feel that we owe it to the people who are in the nursing homes to give them as much protection as possible. And I move to support the override.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Indicates he will yield, Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Senator Rauschenberger, under this pilot project, I gather that the Public Aid and the Health Department will come in once together. Is that the idea?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

To my understanding, the Department of Public Health and Department of Public Aid on a pilot basis will coordinate their survey process. Now for those of us -- yeah. Supposedly a single inspection on the pilot basis. Whether they decide to pilot a group of homes or an area, I'm not sure.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Why can't they do that now?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Senator, I represented to this Chamber earlier this year that they could do it now and that it should be done now, and that was one of the reasons I was enthusiastic in my sponsorship of this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Further discussion? Further discussion? The -- if not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1133, in the manner and form just stated by Senator Rauschenberger. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Secretary. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, no Nays, 6 Members voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1133, having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected, is declared accepted. Earlier Senator Fitzgerald asked leave to return to Senate Bill 1094. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1094, in manner and form as follows:

Amendment to Senate Bill 1094

in Acceptance of Governor's Recommendations Filed by Senator Fitzgerald.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Fitzgerald.

SENATOR FITZGERALD:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1094 created the optional retirement system within the State university employees' retirement system. And the Governor has amendatorily vetoed it to make a couple of changes and also to give SURS members the right to have a consultation before making an election to opt into the optional retirement plan. There is going to be some trailer legislation next year to make sure that we have the ORP plan working properly. And with an agreement on that in hand, I do favor the Governor -- going forward and approving the Governor's changes, and I'd appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Fitzgerald has moved to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1094. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

Governor as to Senate Bill 1094, in the manner and form just stated by Senator Fitzgerald. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Secretary. On that question, there are 58 Ayes, no Nays, no Members voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1094, having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected, are declared accepted. Committee Reports.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senator Weaver, Chair of the Committee on Rules, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned to committees <sic>: Being Approved for Consideration - House Bills 820 and 1461.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

...Secretary, have there been any motions filed?

SECRETARY HARRY:

Yes, Mr. President. Senator Mahar has filed a motion with respect to Senate Bill 46.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Mr. Secretary, the Chair requests that these motions be printed on the Calendar. If there are no objections, so ordered. Introduction of Bills.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Bill 1233, offered by Senator Welch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Donahue, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. I would like to say to the Republican Members that we have a caucus in Room 212 immediately.

61st Legislative Day

November 1, 1995

A caucus in Room 212 - note the change - at -- immediately.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise, sir? SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Would you -- an inquiry of the Chair. It's my understanding that at -- at lo'clock in 212 there's going to be some demonstration, I guess, of the computers and I assume we -- you'll be back by then so that we can all go in or maybe -- maybe you'll invite us in after lo'clock. The other thing is: Could you tell us what the schedule might be for the remainder of the day?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Senator Demuzio, there are some committee meetings that are scheduled for this afternoon. We are -- we are just about momentarily going to adjourn for the day. Is there any further business to come before the Senate? If not, the Senate stands adjourned until 10 a.m.... Senator Cronin, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR CRONIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. For purposes of an announcement. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

State your announcement, sir.

SENATOR CRONIN:

The Senate Education Committee is scheduled to meet at 3 p.m. today and I'm announcing that we will meet at 4 p.m. in A-1. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MAITLAND)

Is there any further business to come before the Senate? If not, Senator Geo-Karis moves the Senate stand adjourned until 10 a.m., Thursday, November 2nd.

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 89TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

96/09/11 16:18:36

NOVEMBER 01, 1995

SB-0046	MOTION FILE	:D	PA	GE 17
	VETO ACTION			GE 3
SB-0442	VETO ACTION		PA	GE 4
	VETO ACTION		PA	
	VETO ACTION		P A	GE 5 GE 6
	VETO ACTION		PA	GE 16
	VETO ACTION		PA	GE 8
	FIRST READ!		PA	GE 2
SB-1232	FIRST READ	NG	PA	GE 2 GE 2
SB-1233	FIRST READ!	NG	PA	
SR-0097	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	
SR-0098	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2 GE 2 GE 2
SR-0099	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0100	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0101	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	
SR-0102	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0103	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0104	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0105	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0106	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0107	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0108	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2 GE 2 GE 2 GE 2 GE 2
SR-0109	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0110	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0111	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SR-0112	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
SJR-0066	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	
SJR-0067	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PA	GE 2
	SUBJECT MAT	TER		

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT PHILIP	PAGE	1
PRAYER - PASTOR JERRY L. KLUG	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
JOURNAL - APPROVED	PAGE	1
MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	17
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	18